

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,592	KELLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William M. Treat	2181

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) William M. Treat.

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher S. Chow.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 and 112, 2nd

Claims discussed:

independent claims and claim 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Ginsberg et al. (Patent No. 6,175,916)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested wording (set forth in the attached examiner's amendment) for amendments to the independent claims to clarify them and allow them to distinguish over the prior art of record. He also suggested that the proposed amendment to independent claim 18 might render dependent claim 23 superfluous. Applicants' representative agreed to the proposed amendments..